arXiv:math/9906116v1 [math.RT] 17 Jun 1999

CLASSIFICATION OF SIMPLE HARISH-CHANDRA MODULES OVER THE HIGH RANK VIRASORO ALGEBRAS

Yucai Su

Department of Applied Mathematics, Shanghai Jiaotong University, China
Email: kfimmi@public1.sta.net.cn

ABSTRACT. A notion of generalized highest weight modules over the high rank Virasoro algebras is introduced in this paper, and a theorem, which was originally given as a conjecture by Kac over the Virasoro algebra, is generalized. Mainly, we prove that a simple Harish-Chandra module over a high rank Virasoro algebra is either a generalized highest weight module, or a module of the intermediate series.

KEYWORDS: High rank Virasoro algebra, Harish-Chandra module, uniformly bounded module, generalized highest weight module, module of the intermediate series.

§1. Introduction

The Virasoro algebra *Vir*, closely related to Kac-Moody algebras [5,6], is of interest to both mathematicians and physicists (see, e.g., [2,3,4,8,12]). This is partly due to its relevance to string theories [12] and 2-dimensional conformal field theories [3]. As the universal central extension of an infinite dimensional complex Lie algebra of the linear differential operators $\{t^{i+1}\frac{d}{dt} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, *Vir* can be realized by taking a basis $\{L_i, c \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ with the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{array}{l} [L_i, L_j] = (j-i)L_{i+j} + \frac{i^3-i}{12}\delta_{i,-j}c, \\ [L_i, c] = [c, c] = 0, \end{array} \qquad i, j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{1.1}$$

For an n-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule $M = M_n$ of $\mathbb{C}$, the rank n Virasoro algebra $Vir[M]$ (also called a high rank Virasoro algebra when $n \geq 2$), a notion generalized from that of the Virasoro algebra and introduced in [11], is defined as the complex Lie algebra with basis $\{L_\mu, c \mid \mu \in M\}$ and the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} &[L_\mu, L_\nu] = (\nu - \mu) L_{\mu+\nu} - \tfrac{\mu^3-\mu}{12} \delta_{\mu,-\nu} c, \\ &[L_\mu, c] = [c, c] = 0, \end{aligned} \qquad \mu, \nu \in M. \tag{1.2}$$

By this definition, it can be proved directly that for any two $\mathbb{Z}$-modules $M, M' \subset \mathbb{C}$,

$$Vir[M] \cong Vir[M'] \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad M = aM' \ \text{ for some nonzero } \ a \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{1.3}$$

Therefore we can always suppose $1 \in M$ (and in the following, in most cases, we will suppose 1 is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis element of M). From this, $Vir[M]$ can be realized as the universal central extension of the complex Lie algebra $\overline{Vir}[M]$ consisting of the linear differential operators $\{L_\mu = t^{\mu+1}\frac{d}{dt} \mid \mu \in M\}$ acting on the Laurant polynomial ring $L[M] = Span_{\mathbb{C}}\{t^\mu \mid \mu \in M\} = \mathbb{C}[t^{b_1}, ..., t^{b_n}, (t^{b_1})^{-1}, ..., (t^{b_n})^{-1}]$ if $\{b_1, ..., b_n\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of M (the algebra $\overline{Vir}[M]$ is also called a high rank *centerless* Virasoro algebra). As the algebra $L[M]$ is actually isomorphic to the Laurant polynomial ring $L[n] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, ..., x_n, x_1^{-1}, ..., x_n^{-1}]$ and its derivation algebra is the Witt algebra $W[n]$, $\overline{Vir}[M]$ can also be realized as a subalgebra of $W[n]$:

$$\overline{Vir}[M] = \left\{ p(x_1, ..., x_n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \mid p(x_1, ..., x_n) \in L[n] \right\}. \tag{1.4}$$

Here if we choose $b_1 = 1$, then $\frac{d}{dt} = x_1^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$. In general, if $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i b_i = 1$, for some $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\frac{d}{dt} = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{-m_i} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$. From this, we see that $\overline{Vir}[M] = der\, L[M] \Leftrightarrow n = 1$.

Like the Virasoro algebra, $Vir[M]$ is M-graded

$$\begin{aligned} &Vir[M] = \mathop{\oplus}_{\mu \in M} Vir[M]_\mu, \qquad Vir[M]_\mu = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} L\mu, & \mu \neq 0, \\ \mathbb{C} L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C} c, & \mu = 0, \end{cases} \\ &[Vir[M]_\mu, Vir[M]_\nu] \subset Vir[M]_{\mu+\nu}, \quad \mu, \nu \in M. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

It is worth mentioning that unlike the Virasoro algebra, when $n \geq 2$, $Vir[M]$ is not $\mathbb{Z}$-graded unless we allow the homogeneous spaces to be infinite dimensional.

As in general, $M \not\subset \mathbb{C}$, the notion of highest or lowest weight modules over $Vir[M]$ will have no meaning unless a proper ordering on M is defined. For a different ordering, a highest or lowest weight module could look very different, and the weight spaces of a highest or lowest weight module over $Vir[M]$ are, in general, infinite dimensional. Therefore, it is a hard task to study the representation theory of the high rank Virasoro algebras.

Definition 1.1. (1) For any $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $B=\{b_1,...,b_n\}$ of M, define

$$deg_B\mu=\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^n m_i, \quad \text{for any} \quad \mu=\sum_{i=1}^n m_ib_i\in M, \tag{1.6a}$$

$$M=\mathop{\oplus}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} M_B^{[i]}, \qquad M_B^{[i]}=\{\mu\in M \mid deg_B\mu=i\}, \tag{1.6b}$$

$$Vir[M]=\mathop{\oplus}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} Vir[M]_B^{[i]}, \qquad Vir[M]_B^{[i]}=\mathop{\oplus}_{deg_B\mu=i} Vir[M]_\mu. \tag{1.6c}$$

$$Vir[M]_B^{[\geq k]}=Span_{\mathbb{C}}\{L_\mu \mid \mu=\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^n m_ib_i, m_i\geq k, i=1,...,n\}, \quad k\in\mathbb{Z}. \tag{1.6d}$$

(2) A Harish-Chandra module over $Vir[M]$ is a module V such that

$$V=\mathop{\oplus}_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} V_\lambda, \quad V_\lambda=\{v\in V \mid L_0v=\lambda v\}, \quad dim\,V_\lambda<\infty, \quad \lambda\in\mathbb{C}. \tag{1.6e}$$

(3) A generalized highest weight module over $Vir[M]$ is an indecomposable Harish-Chandra module generated by a generalized highest weight vector v_Λ such that $Vir[M]_B^{[\geq 0]}v_\Lambda=0$ for some $\mathbb{Z}$-basis B of M.

(4) A uniformly bounded module over $Vir[M]$ is a module V with weight spaces uniformly bounded, i.e., there exists some integer N such that $dim\,V_\lambda\leq N$ for all $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$.

(5) A module of the intermediate series over $Vir[M]$ is an indecomposable module V such that $dim\,V_\lambda\leq 1$ for all $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$. ∎

For the Virasoro algebra, Kaplansky *et al* [7] classified modules of the intermediate series over *Vir*. Then Kac[6] conjectured that a simple Harish-Chandra module over *Vir* is either a highest or lowest weight module (note that a lowest weight module is a generalized highest weight module by our definition), or else a module of the intermediate series.

This conjecture was partially proved by Chari *et al* [1], Martin *et al* [9], and the author [13] and also fully proved by Mathieu [10]. In [14], we were able to extend this result to the super-Virasoro algebras. In [15], we constructed and classified all modules of the intermediate series over the high rank Virasoro algebras and over the high rank super-Virasoro algebras. We proved that a module of the intermediate series over $Vir[M]$ is $A_{a,b}, A(a'), B(a')$ or one of their quotient modules for some

$a,b\in\mathbb{C},a'\in\mathbb{C}\cup\{\infty\}$, where $A_{a,b},A(a'),B(a')$ all have a basis $\{x_\mu\mid\mu\in M\}$ such that c acts trivially (i.e., $cx_\mu=0$ for $\mu\in M$), and

$$\begin{aligned}A_{a,b}:&\quad L_\mu x_\nu=(a+\nu+\mu b)x_{\mu+\nu},\\ A(a'):&\quad L_\mu x_\nu=(\nu+\mu)x_{\mu+\nu},\nu\neq 0,\ L_\mu x_0=\mu(1+(\mu+1)a')x_\mu,\\ B(a'):&\quad L_\mu x_\nu=\nu x_{\mu+\nu},\nu\neq-\mu,\ L_\mu x_{-\mu}=-\mu(1+(\mu+1)a')x_0,\end{aligned}\tag{1.7}$$

where $\mu,\nu\in M$, and here we use the same convention as in [14,15] that if $a'=\infty$, then $1+(\mu+1)a'$ is regarded as $\mu+1$. Note that we have

$$\text{(i)}\quad A_{a,b}\ \text{ is simple }\ \Leftrightarrow\ a\notin M\ \text{ or }\ a\in M,b\neq 0,1,\quad\text{and (ii)}\ \ A_{a,1}=A_{a,0}\ \text{ if }\ a\notin M.\tag{1.8}$$

It is the aim of the present paper to extend the Kac's conjecture to the high rank Virasoro algebras. It is interesting to see that although the modules over *Vir*$[M]$ are in general far more complicated than those over *Vir*, nevertheless, the simple Harish-Chandra modules over *Vir*$[M]$ look very similar to those over *Vir*, i.e., we have the following main result of the present paper.

Theorem 1.2. A simple Harish-Chandra module over *Vir*$[M]$ is either a generalized highest weight module, or else a module of the intermediate series.

Remark 1.3. It is worth mentioning that although we have Theorem 1.2 for *Vir*$[M]$, the representation of the generalized highest weight modules over *Vir*$[M]$ is still remaining an open problem. It may be possible and interesting to consider some special cases of generalized highest weight modules over *Vir*$[M]$. ∎

Finally, we point out that we are able to employ this result to classify indecomposable Harish-Chandra modules over *Vir*$[M]$ in [16].

§2. Uniformly bounded modules

Suppose V is an indecomposable Harish-Chandra module over *Vir*$[M]$. For $a\in\mathbb{C}$, let $V(a)=\sum_{\mu\in M}V_{\mu+a}$. Clearly, $V(a)$ is a submodule of *Vir*$[M]$, and V can be decomposed as a direct sum of different $V(a),a\in\mathbb{C}/M$, therefore we have

$$V=V(a)=\sum_{\mu\in M}V_{\mu+a}\quad\text{for some}\ \ a\in\mathbb{C}.\tag{2.1}$$

In the rest of paper, in most cases, we will suppose $n \geq 2$, as for $n = 1$, $Vir[M]$ is simply the (rank one) Virasoro algebra and all the results follow from [10]. First we have

Lemma 2.1. Let V be a simple Harish-Chandra module over $Vir[M]$. Let $B = \{b_1, ..., b_n\}$ be as in Definition 1.1. Suppose $Vir[M]_B^{[\geq k]} v = 0$ for some nonzero $v \in V$ and some non-negative integer k. Then V is a generalized highest weight module.

Proof. Let

$$b'_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} (k + i - j + 1) b_j + k \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} b_j, i = 1, ..., n. \tag{2.2}$$

One can easily check that the determinant of coefficients of $\{b_i \mid i = 1, .., n\}$ is 1, hence, $B' = \{b'_1, ..., b'_n\}$ is also a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of M. From (2.2), we have $Vir[M]_{B'}^{[\geq 0]} \subset Vir[M]_B^{[\geq k]}$, and so $Vir[M]_{B'}^{[\geq 0]} v = 0$. Therefore v is a generalized highest weight vector and V is a generalized highest weight module. ▮

Lemma 2.2. A simple Harish-Chandra module V over $Vir[M]$ without a generalized highest weight vector must be uniformly bounded.

To prove this result, we need the following result.

Lemma 2.3. For any $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i b_i \in M$, let $\boldsymbol{L}$ be the Lie subalgebra of $Vir[M]$ generated by $A = \{L_\nu \mid -1 \leq deg_B(\nu - \mu) \leq 1\}$, then there exists a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis B' of M and a non-negative integer K such that $\boldsymbol{L} \supset Vir[M]_{B'}^{[\geq K]}$.

Proof.We want to prove that there exists a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis B' of M such that $\boldsymbol{L} \supset Vir[M]_{B'}^{[\geq 0]}$. By replacing b_i by $-b_i$ if necessary (this does not change the set A, neither do $\boldsymbol{L}$), we can suppose $m_i \geq 0, i = 1, ..., n$.

(1) If $m_1 \neq 0, m_2 \neq 0$. Take

$$\begin{aligned}
b'_1 &= m_2\mu + b_1 = (\mu + b_1) + \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{m_2-1} \mu = (m_1 m_2 + 1) b_1 + m_2^2 b_2 + \sum_{i=3}^n m_2 m_i b_i, \\
b'_2 &= m_1\mu - b_2 = (\mu - b_2) + \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{m_1-1} \mu = m_1^2 b_1 + (m_1 m_2 - 1) b_2 + \sum_{i=3}^n m_1 m_i b_i, \\
b'_i &= b'_1 + b_i = (\mu + b_1 + b_i) + \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{m_2-1} \mu, \quad i = 3, ..., n.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

From the expressions (2.3), we can easily solve $b_i, i = 1, ..., n$, as an integral linear combination of $B' = \{b'_1, ..., b'_n\}$. Thus B' is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of M. We also see that $\{L_{b'_i} \mid i = 1, ..., n\} \subset \boldsymbol{L}$. For example, from

$$[L_\mu, ..., [L_\mu, L_{\mu+b_1}]...] \ (m_2 - 1 \text{ copies of } L_\mu) = aL_{b'_1}, \quad \text{where } \ a = \prod_{i=0}^{m_2-2} (i\mu + b_1) \neq 0, \tag{2.4}$$

and the *l.h.s.* of (2.4) is in $\boldsymbol{L}$, we see $L_{b'_1} \in \boldsymbol{L}$. This proves that $Vir[M]^{[\geq 0]}_{B'}$, which is the Lie subalgebra generated by $\{L_{b'_1} \mid i = 1, ..., n\}$, is contained in $\boldsymbol{L}$.

(2) If $m_i = 0$ for some $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Say, $m_1 = 0$. Take

$$b'_1 = \mu + b_1, b'_i = b'_1 + b_i = \mu + b_1 + b_i, \ i = 2, ..., n.$$

Then as above $B' = \{b'_1, ..., b'_n\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of M and $Vir[M]^{[\geq 0]}_{B'} \subset \boldsymbol{L}$. ∎

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Let V be as in (2.1). For any $\mu \in M$, let A and $\boldsymbol{L}$ be as in Lemma 2.3. By Lemma 2.1, we see that for any $0 \neq v \in V$, we have $\boldsymbol{L}v \neq 0$, thus, $Av \neq 0$. This means that $\cap_{-1 \leq deg_B(\nu-\mu) \leq 1} Ker(L_\nu|_V) = 0$. In particular, $\oplus_{-1 \leq deg_B(\nu-\mu) \leq 1} L_\nu|_{V_{a-\mu}} : V_{a-\mu} \to \oplus_{-1 \leq deg_B \nu \leq 1} V_{a+\nu}$ is an injection. Therefore, $dim\, V_{a-\mu} \leq N$, where $N = \sum_{-1 \leq deg_B \nu \leq 1} dim\, V_{a+\nu}$ is a fixed integer. As $\mu \in M$ is arbitrary, this proves that V is uniformly bounded. ∎

Lemma 2.4. Let V as in (2.1) be a uniformly bounded module over $Vir[M]$. There exists a non-negative integer N such that $dim\, V_{a+\mu} = N$ for all $\mu \in M$, $a + \mu \neq 0$.

Proof. By decomposing V as a direct sum of indecomposable submodules, we see that it suffices to prove the result when V is indecomposable.

If $n = 1$, the result follows from [9]. Suppose now $n \geq 2$. Let $B = \{b_1, ..., b_n\}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of M. For $i = 1, ..., n$, let Vir_i be the Virasoro algebra generated by $\{L_{kb_i} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. For any $\mu \in M$, let $V(\mu, i) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{a+\mu+kb_i}$. Clearly $V(\mu, i)$ is a uniformly bounded module over Vir_i, therefore we have $dim\, V_{a+\mu} = dim\, V_{a+\mu+kb_i}$, for all $\mu \in M, k \in \mathbb{Z}, i = 1, ..., n$, such that $a + \mu \neq 0, a + \mu + kb_i \neq 0$. From this, we can conclude that $dim\, V_{a+\mu}$ is a constant for all $\mu \in M$, $a + \mu \neq 0$. ∎

§3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.2. Suppose V is a simple Harish-Chandra module over $Vir[M]$. Then V has the form (2.1) and by Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4, we can suppose V is uniformly bounded such that $dim\, V_{a+\mu} = N$ for all $\mu \in M$, $a + \mu \neq 0$.

If $N = 0$, V is just a trivial module, and the result is obvious true. Therefore, we suppose $N \geq 1$. If $n = 1$, the result follows from [10]. Suppose $n \geq 2$, and by inductive assumption, we can suppose the result holds for any rank $n - 1$ Virasoro algebra. By the statement after (1.3), we can take a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $B = \{1 = b_1, b_2, ..., b_{n-1}, b_n = d\}$ of M, so

$$M = M_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}d, \quad M_1 = \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\oplus_{i=2}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}b_i). \tag{3.1}$$

Let $Vir[M_1]$ be the rank $n - 1$ Virasoro algebra generated by $\{L_\mu \mid \mu \in M_1\}$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $V^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu \in M_1} V_{a+kd+\mu}$, then it is a uniformly bounded $Vir[M_1]$-module.

As B is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of M, one sees that there exists at most one $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a + kd \in M_1$. Fix a k_0 such that

$$a + kd \notin M_1 \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq k_0. \tag{3.2}$$

Now first we take $k = k_0$. By inductive assumption, the result of Theorem 1.2 holds for the rank $n-1$ Virasoro algebra $Vir[M_1]$, thus there exists a simple submodule $V^{(k,1)}$ of $V^{(k)}$ over $Vir[M_1]$. As V is simple, the center element c acting on V must be a scalar, by induction on n, we can suppose c acts trivially on $V^{(k,1)}$, thus c must also trivially act on V. By (1.8), $V^{(k,1)}$ has the form $A_{a+kd,b}$, i.e., there exists a basis $\{x_\mu \mid \mu \in M_1\}$ of $V^{(k,1)}$, such that

$$L_\mu x_\nu = (\overline{\nu} + \mu b) x_{\mu+\nu}, \mu, \nu \in M_1, \tag{3.3}$$

where, here and the following, to simplify the notation, we take the following convention,

$$\overline{\nu} = a + kd + \nu, \quad \text{for} \quad \nu \in M_1 \text{ (when } k \text{ is fixed)}. \tag{3.4}$$

Furthermore, we can suppose that among all simple modules of $V^{(k)}$, $V^{(k,1)}$ is chosen to be one such that $Re(b)$ is minimum, where $Re(b)$ is the real part of the complex number b. We can take a composition series of $V^{(k+1)}$ over $Vir[M_1]$:

$$0 = V^{(k+1,0)} \subset V^{(k+1,1)} \subset ... \subset V^{(k+1,N)} = V^{(k+1)}, \tag{3.5}$$

such that for $i = 1, ..., N$,

$$V^{(k+1,i)}/V^{(k+1,i-1)} \quad \text{has the form} \quad A_{a+(k+1)d,b'} \quad \text{for some} \quad b' \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{3.6}$$

where b' may depend on i. Clearly we have

$$L_d V^{(k,1)} \subset L_d V^{(k)} \subset V^{(k+1)}. \tag{3.7}$$

Lemma 3.1. $L_d V^{(k,1)} \neq 0$.

Proof. Suppose $L_d V^{(k,1)} = 0$. Note that for any $\mu \in M_1, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+\backslash\{0\}$, $L_{\mu+md}$ can be generated by $Vir[M_1] \cup \{L_d\}$. By this and (3.3), we obtain by induction on m that $L_{\mu+md}V^{(k,1)} = 0$ if $m > 0$. Let W be the $Vir[M]$-submodule of V generated by $V^{(k,1)}$. Since, as space, $Vir[M] = Vir[M]_1 \oplus Vir[M]_2$, where $Vir[M]_1$ and $Vir[M]_2$ are the Lie subalgebra of $Vir[M]$ generated by $Vir[M_1] \cup \{L_{-d}\}$ and respectively by $\{L_{\mu+md} \mid \mu \in M_1, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+\backslash\{0\}\}$, by decomposing the universal enveloping algebra of $Vir[M]$ as $U(Vir[M]) = U(Vir[M]_1)U(Vir[M]_2)$, we have $W = U(Vir[M]_1)U(Vir[M]_2)V^{(k,1)} = U(Vir[M]_1)V^{(k,1)}$. From this, we obtain that $W_{\overline{\mu}+md} = 0$ if $\mu \in M_1, m > 0$. On the other hand, $W_{\overline{\mu}} \supset V^{(k,1)}_{\overline{\mu}} = \mathbb{C} x_\mu, \mu \in M_1$. Thus Lemma 2.4 is violated by the $Vir[M]$-module W. This contradiction proves that $L_d V^{(k,1)} \neq 0$. ∎

By (3.7) and (3.5), let $N_1 \geq 0$ be the integer such that

$$L_d V^{(k,1)} \not\subset U, \quad \text{but} \quad L_d V^{(k,1)} \subset U', \quad \text{where} \quad U = V^{(k+1,N_1)}, U' = V^{(k+1,N_1+1)}. \tag{3.8}$$

Furthermore, we can choose (3.5) to be a composition series such that N_1 is minimum, among all composition series of $V^{(k+1)}$. By (3.6), we can take a basis $\{y_\mu \mid \mu \in M_1\}$ of U'/U such that

$$\begin{aligned} &L_\mu y_\nu \equiv (\overline{\nu} + d + \mu b')y_{\mu+\nu} \,(\mathrm{mod}\, U), \;\; \mu, \nu \in M_1. \\ &L_d x_\nu \equiv a_\nu y_\nu \,(\mathrm{mod}\, U), \quad \text{for some} \;\; a_\nu \in \mathbb{C}\,, \;\; \nu \in M_1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Lemma 3.2. We have $b' = b$ or $b - 1$. Furthermore by rescaling $y_\nu, \nu \in M_1$ if necessary, we have

$$a_\nu = \begin{cases} \overline{\nu} + bd & \text{if } \; b' = b, \\ \quad 1 & \text{if } \; b' = b - 1. \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. For $\mu, \mu' \in M_1$, by $[L_\mu, [L_{\mu'}, L_d]] = (d - \mu')(d + \mu' - \mu)L_{\mu+\mu'+d}$ and $[L_{\mu+\mu'}, L_d] = (d - \mu - \mu')L_{\mu+\mu'+d}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(d - \mu - \mu')(L_\mu L_{\mu'} L_d - L_\mu L_d L_{\mu'} - L_{\mu'} L_d L_\mu + L_d L_{\mu'} L_\mu) \\ &\qquad -(d - \mu')(d + \mu' - \mu)(L_{\mu+\mu'} L_d - L_d L_{\mu+\mu'}) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Apply (3.11) to x_ν, by (3.9) and (3.3), we obtain (where the calculation is conducted under $\operatorname{mod} U$ and we count the coefficients of $y_\nu, \nu \in M_1$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&(d-\mu-\mu')((\overline{\nu}+d+\mu'b')(\overline{\nu}+\mu'+d+\mu b')a_\nu-(\overline{\nu}+\mu'b)(\overline{\nu}+\mu'+d+\mu b')a_{\nu+\mu'}\\
&\qquad -(\overline{\nu}+\mu b)(\overline{\nu}+\mu+d+\mu'b')a_{\nu+\mu}+(\overline{\nu}+\mu b)(\overline{\nu}+\mu+\mu'b)a_{\nu+\mu+\mu'})\\
&\quad -(d-\mu')(d+\mu'-\mu)((\overline{\nu}+d+(\mu+\mu')b')a_\nu-(\overline{\nu}+(\mu+\mu')b)a_{\nu+\mu+\mu'})=0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Now in (3.12), (i) replacing μ' by μ, and ν by $\nu-\mu$; (ii) replacing μ' by $-\mu$; (iii) replacing both μ and μ' by $-\mu$, and ν by $\nu+\mu$, we obtain three equations concerning $a_{\nu-\mu}, a_\nu, a_{\nu+\mu}$ correspondingly:

$$\begin{aligned}
&((d-2\mu)(\overline{\nu}-\mu+d+\mu b')(\overline{\nu}+d+\mu b')-d(d-\mu)(\overline{\nu}-\mu+d+2\mu b'))a_{\nu-\mu}\\
&\qquad -2(d-2\mu)(\overline{\nu}-\mu+\mu b)(\overline{\nu}+d+\mu b')a_\nu\\
&\quad +((d-2\mu)(\overline{\nu}-\mu+\mu b)(\overline{\nu}+\mu b)+d(d-\mu)(\overline{\nu}-\mu+2\mu b))a_{\nu+\mu}=0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\overline{\nu}-\mu b)(\overline{\nu}-\mu+d+\mu b')a_{\nu-\mu}\\
&-((\overline{\nu}+d-\mu b')(\overline{\nu}-\mu+d+\mu b')+(\overline{\nu}+\mu b)(\overline{\nu}+\mu-\mu b)-(d+\mu)(d-2\mu))a_\nu\\
&+(\overline{\nu}+\mu b)(\overline{\nu}+\mu+d-\mu b')a_{\nu+\mu}=0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&((d+2\mu)(\overline{\nu}+\mu-\mu b)(\overline{\nu}-\mu b)+d(d+\mu)(\overline{\nu}+\mu-2\mu b))a_{\nu-\mu}\\
&\qquad -2(d+2\mu)(\overline{\nu}+\mu-\mu b)(\overline{\nu}+d-\mu b')a_\nu\\
&((d+2\mu)(\overline{\nu}+\mu+d-\mu b')(\overline{\nu}+d-\mu b')-d(d+\mu)(\overline{\nu}+\mu+d-2\mu b'))a_{\nu+\mu}=0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13c}$$

First suppose that the set $\{\nu\in M_1 \mid a_\nu\neq 0\}$ is finite. Then for any $\nu\in M_1$, we can always choose $\mu\in M_1$ such that $a_{\nu\pm\mu}=0$ and such that the coefficient of a_ν in (3.13a) is nonzero. This shows that $a_\nu=0$ for all $\nu\in M_1$, and so (3.9) tells us that $L_d V^{(k,1)}\subset U$. This contradicts (3.8). Therefore, we must have that

$$\text{The set }\ \{\nu\in M_1 \mid a_\nu\neq 0\}\ \text{ is infinite.} \tag{3.14}$$

This means that for any $\nu\in M_1$, there exist an infinite number of $\mu\in M_1$ such that the determinant of coefficients of $a_{\nu-\mu}, a_\nu, a_{\nu+\mu}$ in (3.13a-c) is zero. Denote this determinant by $\Delta(\overline{\nu},\mu)$. As $\Delta(\overline{\nu},\mu)$ is a polynomial on μ (when ν is fixed), this shows that for any $\nu\in M_1$, $D(\overline{\nu},\mu)=0$ for all $\mu\in\mathbb{C}$. Similarly as $D(\overline{\nu},\mu)$ is also a polynomial on $\overline{\nu}$ (when μ is fixed). Thus, we have

$$D(\overline{\nu},\mu)=0\ \text{ for all }\ \nu,\mu\in\mathbb{C}. \tag{3.15}$$

By a little lengthy calculation (or simply using a computer), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D(\overline{\nu},\mu) = {} & (b'-b)(1+b'-b)\mu^6 \times \\ & (4d(3(b+b')^2-7b-5b'+2)\overline{\nu} \\ & +4(b+b')((b+b')^2(b-b')-2(b+b')(b-2b')-(b+5b')+2)\mu^2 \\ & +d^2(-(b+b')^3(b-b')-2(b+b')(b^2+3bb'+4b'^2) \\ & +19b^2+34bb'+19b'^2-24b-16b'+4)) \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

By (3.15), (3.16), we can solve that

$$b'=b, \text{ or } b'=b-1, \text{ or } b=1, b'=-1, \text{ or } b=0, b'=1, \text{ or } b=2, b'=0. \tag{3.17}$$

(1) If $b'=b$ or $b'=b-1$. It is straightforward to verify that (3.10) is a solution to (3.13a-c). Note that the determinant of the coefficients of $a_{\nu-\mu}$ and a_μ in (3.13a&b) is non-zero. This means that we can solve $a_{\nu-\mu}$ and a_μ in terms of $a_{\nu+\mu}$, thus the solution to (3.13a-c) is unique up to scalars. By rescaling $y_\nu, \nu \in M_1$ if necessary, we can suppose that the solution to (3.13a-c) is unique.

(2) If $b=1, b'=-1$. By (1.8), $A_{a+kd,1} \cong A_{a+kd,0}$, by our choice of b such that $Re(b)$ is minimum, in this case, we should have $b=0$. Thus this becomes a special case of (1).

(3) Suppose $b=0, b'=1$ or $b=2, b'=0$. Again from $A_{a+kd,1} \cong A_{a+kd,0}$, we can choose b' to be 0 or 1, so that this becomes a special case of (1). This completes the proof of the lemma. ∎

Lemma 3.3. If $b'=b-1$, then $\{L_d x_\nu \mid \nu \in M_1\}$ generates a simple submodule W of $V^{(k+1)}$.

Proof. We need to prove in (3.8), $N_1=0$, i.e., $U=0$. Suppose $U \neq 0$. Take a composition series of U:

$$0=U_0 \subset U_1 \subset ... \subset U_{N_1}=U. \tag{3.18}$$

Taking a basis $\{z_\nu \mid \nu \in M_1\}$ of U/U_{N_1-1}, and replacing y_ν by $L_d x_\nu$, $\nu \in M_1$, by (3.3), (3.9) and (3.10), we now have

$$\begin{array}{ll} L_\mu x_\nu = (\overline{\nu}+\mu b)x_{\mu+\nu}, & L_d x_\nu = y_\nu, \\ L_\mu y_\nu \equiv (\overline{\nu}+d+\mu(b-1))y_{\mu+\nu} + c_{\mu,\nu} z_{\mu+\nu}, & L_\mu z_\nu \equiv (\overline{\nu}+d+\mu b'')z_{\mu+\nu}, \end{array} \quad \mu,\nu \in M_1, \tag{3.19}$$

for some $b'', c_{\mu,\nu} \in \mathbb{C}$ (such that $c_{0,\nu}=0$), where, here and below, the calculation is conducted under $Mod\, U_{N_1-1}$. If $c_{\mu,\nu}=0$ for all $\mu,\nu \in M_1$, then (3.18) and (3.19) tell us that we can choose a composition series (3.5) of $V^{(k+1)}$ with U replaced by U_{N_1-1}, this, contradicts our choice of N_1 being minimum. Thus $c_{\mu,\nu} \neq 0$ for some $\mu,\nu \in M_1$. If necessary, by a suitable choice of basis of M_1

in (3.1), we can suppose $c_{jd_i,\nu} \neq 0$ for some j, i, ν. Without loss of generality, we can suppose $i = 1$, i.e., $d_i = 1$, thus

$$c_{j,\mu} \neq 0 \ \text{ for some } \ j \in \mathbb{Z}, \mu \in M_1. \tag{3.20}$$

From (3.19), we obtain (we simply denote $c_{1,\nu}$ by c_ν)

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{1+d}x_\nu &= \tfrac{1}{d-1}[L_1, L_d]x_\nu \equiv y_{\nu+1} + \tfrac{c_\nu}{d-1} z_{\nu+1}, \\
y_\nu &= L_d x_\nu = \tfrac{1}{d+2}[L_{-1}, L_{1+d}]x_\nu \equiv \\
&\quad y_\nu + \tfrac{1}{d+2}(c_{-1,\nu+1} - \tfrac{1}{d-1}((\overline{\nu} - b)c_{\nu-1} - (\overline{\nu} + 1 + d - b'')c_\nu))z_\nu, \\
2(\overline{\nu} + d)y_\nu &= [L_{-1}, L_1]y_\nu \equiv \\
&\quad 2(\overline{\nu} + d)y_\nu + ((\overline{\nu} + d + b - 1)c_{-1,\nu+1} + (\overline{\nu} + 1 + d - b'')c_\nu \\
&\qquad -(\overline{\nu} + d - b + 1)c_{\nu-1} - (\overline{\nu} - 1 + d + b'')c_{-1,\nu})z_\nu.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

By the second and the third equations, we obtain, for $\nu \in M_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&c_{-1,\nu} = \tfrac{1}{d-1}((\overline{\nu} - 1 - b)c_{\nu-2} - (\overline{\nu} + d - b'')c_{\nu-1}), \\
&(\overline{\nu} + b)(\overline{\nu} + 1 + d - b'')c_\nu - (2\overline{\nu}^2 + (2d - 1)\overline{\nu} - d + b'' - b''^2 - b^2 + 1)c_{\nu-1} \\
&\qquad +(\overline{\nu} + d - 1 + b'')(\overline{\nu} - 1 - b)c_{\nu-2} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_{-1+d}x_\nu = \tfrac{1}{d+1}[L_{-1}, L_d]x_\nu \equiv y_{\nu-1} + \tfrac{1}{(d+1)(d-1)}((\overline{\nu} - 1 - b)c_{\nu-2} - (\overline{\nu} + d - b'')c_{\nu-1})z_{\nu-1}, \\
&L_{2+d}x_\nu = \tfrac{1}{d-2}[L_2, L_d]x_\nu \equiv y_{\nu+2} + \tfrac{1}{d-2}c_{2,\nu}z_{\nu+2}, \\
&L_{2+d}x_\nu = \tfrac{1}{d}[L_1, L_{1+d}]x_\nu \equiv y_{\nu+2} + \tfrac{1}{d(d-1)}((k + 1 + d + b'')c_\nu - (\overline{\nu} + 1 + b - d)c_{\nu+1})z_{\nu+2}, \\
&L_{1+d}x_\nu = \tfrac{1}{d-3}[L_2, L_{-1+d}]x_\nu \equiv y_{\nu+1} + \tfrac{1}{d-3}(c_{2,\nu-1} + \tfrac{1}{(d+1)(d-1)}(((\overline{\nu} - 1 - b)c_{\nu-2} \\
&\qquad -(\overline{\nu}+d-b'')c_{\nu-1})(\overline{\nu}-1+d+2b'') - (\overline{\nu}+2b)((\overline{\nu}+1-b)c_\nu - (\overline{\nu}+2+d-b'')c_{\nu+1})))z_{\nu+1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

From this, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&c_{2,\nu} = \tfrac{d-2}{d(d-1)}((\overline{\nu} + 1 + d + b'')c_\nu - (\overline{\nu} + b - d + 1)c_{\nu+1}), \\
&d(\overline{\nu}-1+2b)(\overline{\nu}+1+d-b'')c_\nu - (d\overline{\nu}^2 + (d^2 + (b-2)d - 2)\overline{\nu} + (b-2)d^2 - 2b^2 d + 2 - 2b)c_{\nu-1} \\
&\qquad -(d\overline{\nu}^2 + (d^2 + (b'' - 2)d + 2)\overline{\nu} - d^2 - (2b''^2 - b'' - 3)d + 2b'' - 2)c_{\nu-2} \\
&\qquad\qquad +d(\overline{\nu} - 2 - b)(\overline{\nu} - 2 + d + 2b'')c_{\nu-3} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Now from (3.22) and (3.24), we can eliminate $c_{\nu-i}, i = 1, 2, 3,$ as below to obtain $p(\overline{\nu})c_\nu = 0$, where $p(\overline{\nu})$ is a polynomial on $\overline{\nu}$: if we simply write the second equations of (3.22) and (3.24) as

$\sum_{i=0}^{2} s_i(\overline{\nu})c_{\nu-i}=0$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{3} t_i(\overline{\nu})c_{\nu-i}=0$, by taking

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(\overline{\nu}) &= d(\overline{\nu}-1+2b)s_1(\overline{\nu})-(\overline{\nu}+b)t_1(\overline{\nu}),\\ u_2(\overline{\nu}) &= d(\overline{\nu}-1+2b)s_2(\overline{\nu})-(\overline{\nu}+b)t_2(\overline{\nu}),\\ u_3(\overline{\nu}) &= \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad -(\overline{\nu}+b)t_3(\overline{\nu}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

we can iliminate c_ν to obtain $\sum_{i=1}^{3} u_i(\overline{\nu})c_{\nu-i}=0$; by taking

$$\begin{aligned} v_1(\overline{\nu}) &= (\overline{\nu}-2-d+b'')u_1(\overline{\nu})+d(\overline{\nu}-2+d+2b'')(\overline{\nu}+b)s_0(\overline{\nu}-1),\\ v_2(\overline{\nu}) &= (\overline{\nu}-2-d+b'')u_2(\overline{\nu})+d(\overline{\nu}-2+d+2b'')(\overline{\nu}+b)s_1(\overline{\nu}-1), \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

we can iliminate $c_{\nu-3}$ to obtain

$$v_1(\overline{\nu})c_{\nu-1}+v_2(\overline{\nu})c_{\nu-2}=0; \tag{3.27}$$

by taking

$$\begin{aligned} w_0(\overline{\nu}) &= s_0(\overline{\nu})v_2(\overline{\nu}),\\ w_1(\overline{\nu}) &= s_1(\overline{\nu})v_2(\overline{\nu})-s_2(\overline{\nu})v_1(\overline{\nu}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

we obtain

$$w_0(\overline{\nu})c_\nu+w_1(\overline{\nu})c_{\nu-1}=0; \tag{3.29}$$

Finally by taking

$$p(\overline{\nu})=v_1(\overline{\nu}+1)w_1(\overline{\nu})-v_2(\overline{\nu}+1)w_0(\overline{\nu}), \tag{3.30}$$

we obtain $p(\overline{\nu})c_\nu=0$. Now we claim that $c_\nu=0$ for all $\nu\in M_1$. If not, from (3.22), we see that there must be an infinite number of ν such that $c_\nu\neq 0$, and such that $p(\overline{\nu})=0$ correspondingly. Thus $p(\overline{\nu})=0$ for all $\nu\in\mathbb{C}$. From above, by a lengthy computation or using a computer, we have

$$p(\overline{\nu})=-d(d+1)(b''-b)(b''-b-1)(\overline{\nu}+b)(\overline{\nu}+d-1+b'')(p_0\overline{\nu}^2+p_1\overline{\nu}+p_2), \tag{3.31a}$$

for some $p_0,p_1,p_2\in\mathbb{C}$, where $p_0=(b+b'')(b+b''-1)$, and p_1 can be written as

$$p_1=p_1'p_0-2(3b''^2-3b''-1)bd-2(3b''^3-4b''^2-b''+1)d, \tag{3.31b}$$

for some $p_1'\in\mathbb{C}$. Thus we can solve $b''=b$ or $b''=b+1$ or $b=\pm1, b''=\mp1$ or $b=1, b''=0$.

(1) Suppose $b''=b$. By the second equation of (3.22), (3.27) and (3.29), we get

$$c_\nu=c_{\nu-1}, \nu\in M_1. \tag{3.32}$$

From this, by (3.22) and (3.24), we get

$$c_{i,\nu} = \frac{i(d-i)}{d-1} c_\nu, \tag{3.33}$$

for $i = 0, \pm 1, 2$. Now using $[L_1, L_{i-1}] = (i-2)L_i$, $[L_{-i+1}, L_{-1}] = (i-2)L_{-i}$, applying them to y_ν, using induction on i, we obtain that (3.33) holds for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Applying $[L_i, L_j] = (j-i)L_{i+j}$ to y_ν, we can finally obtain $c_\nu = 0$.

(2) Suppose $b'' = b + 1$. As in (1), we can obtain in this case

$$c_{i,\nu} = \frac{i(d-i)}{d-1} (\overline{\nu} + (2b+1)d + i(b+1)) c'_\nu, \tag{3.34}$$

where $c'_\nu = c'_{\nu-1}$ for $\nu \in M_1$. Again, we can get $c'_\nu = 0$. This proves that $c_\nu = 0$ for all $\nu \in M_1$.

(3) Suppose $b = \pm 1, b'' = \mp 1$ or $b = 1, b'' = 0$. As above, we have

$$\begin{cases} c_\nu = \frac{c'_\nu}{\overline{\nu}(\overline{\nu}+1)}, & \text{and} \;\; c_{i,\nu} = \frac{i(d-i)}{d-1} \frac{c'_\nu}{\overline{\nu}(\overline{\nu}+i)}, \quad \text{if} \;\; b = 1, b'' = -1, \\ c_\nu = (\overline{\nu} - d)(\overline{\nu} + d + 1) c'_\nu, & \text{and} \;\; c_{i,\nu} = \frac{i(d-i)}{d-1} (\overline{\nu} - d)(\overline{\nu} + d + i) c'_\nu, \quad \text{if} \;\; b = -1, b'' = 1, \\ c_\nu = \frac{c'_\nu}{\overline{\nu}+d+1}, & \text{and} \;\; c_{i,\nu} = \frac{i(d-i)}{d-1} \frac{c'_\nu}{\overline{\nu}+d+i}, \quad \text{if} \;\; b = 1, b'' = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $c'_\nu = c'_{\nu-1}$, $\nu \in M_1$. Again, we can obtain $c'_\nu = 0$, thus $c_\nu = 0$ for all $\nu \in M_1$.

In all cases, by (3.22) and (3.24) and by induction, we obtain that $c_{i,\nu} = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}, \nu \in M_1$. This contradicts (3.20). This completes the proof of the lemma. ∎

Lemma 3.4. If $b' = b$, then $\{L_d x_\nu \mid \nu \in M_1\}$ generates a simple submodule W of $V^{(k+1)}$.

Proof. First suppose $\overline{\nu} + bd \neq 0$ for all $\nu \in M_1$. In this case, as in the proof of Lemma 3.3, we can replace y_ν by $\frac{1}{\overline{\nu}+bd} L_d x_\nu$. Then we have the first and last equations of (3.19) and

$$L_d x_\nu = (\overline{\nu} + bd) y_\nu, \;\; L_\mu y_\nu \equiv (\overline{\nu} + d + \mu b) y_{\mu+\nu} + c_{\mu,\nu} z_{\mu+\nu}, \quad \mu, \nu \in M_1. \tag{3.35}$$

Now the proof is exactly analogous to, though more involved than, that of Lemma 3.3. Thus we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(\overline{\nu}-1+(d+1)b) c_{-1,\nu} = \tfrac{1}{d-1}((\overline{\nu}-1-b)(\overline{\nu}-2+bd) c_{\nu-2} - (\overline{\nu}-1+bd)(\overline{\nu}+d-b'') c_{\nu-1}, \\ &(\overline{\nu}+bd) c_{2,\nu} = \tfrac{d-2}{d(d-1)}((\overline{\nu}+bd)(\overline{\nu}+1+d+b'') c_\nu - ((\overline{\nu}+b)(\overline{\nu}+1+bd) - (d-1)(\overline{\nu}+(d+1)b)) c_{\nu+1}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

Similarly, we have $p(\overline{\nu})c_\nu = 0$ for some polynomial $p(\overline{\nu})$. Use a computer's help, we can compute $p(\overline{\nu})$ and find out that $p(\overline{\nu}) \neq 0$. This forces $c_\nu = 0$.

Suppose now there exists $\nu_0 \in M_1$ such that $\overline{\nu_0} + bd = 0$. In this case, we must have $b \neq 1$, otherwise by (3.3), $0 = \overline{\nu_0} + bd = a + (k+1)d + \nu_0$, a contradiction with (3.2). Now we take $y_\nu = \frac{1}{\overline{\nu}+bd} L_d x_\nu$ if $\nu \neq \nu_0$ and take $y_{\nu_0} = \frac{1}{\overline{\nu}_0 - 1 + d + b} L_1 y_{\nu_0 - 1}$ (we have $\overline{\nu}_0 - 1 + d + b = -1 + d + b - bd = (d-1)(1-b) \neq 0$ as by (3.1), $d \neq 1$), then we have (3.35) with the first equation replaced by

$$L_d x_\nu \equiv (\overline{\nu} + bd) y_\nu + \delta_{\nu,\nu_0} c z_\nu, \quad \nu \in M_1, \tag{3.37}$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$. By our choice of y_{ν_0}, we have $c_{\nu_0 - 1} = c_{1,\nu_0-1} = 0$. Now we can proceed as above to prove that $c_\nu = 0$ for all $\nu \in M_1$ and that $c = 0$. ∎

By Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, we can now let $V^{(k+1,1)}$ be the simple $Vir[M_1]$-submodule of $V^{(k+1)}$ generated by $V^{(k,1)}$. Now we claim that $b' = b$. If not, by taking $d' = -d$, and considering $L_{d'} V^{(k+1,1)}$. Following exactly the same arguments as before, we then have a simple $Vir[M_1]$-submodule $V'^{(k,1)}$ of $V^{(k)}$, such that $L_{d'} V^{(k+1,1)} \subset V'^{(k,1)}$ and $V'^{(k,1)} \cong A_{a+kd,b_1}$ with $b_1 = b'$ or $b_1 = b' - 1$. In particular, $Re(b_1) < Re(b)$. This contradicts our choice of b that $Re(b)$ is minimum. Therefore $b' = b$. Now for $i = 1, 2$, let $\widetilde{V}^{(k+i,1)}$ be the sum of all simple $Vir[M_1]$-submodules of $V^{(k+i)}$ which are isomorphic to $A_{a+(k+i)d,b}$, then the above arguments show that $L_d \widetilde{V}^{(k,1)} \subset \widetilde{V}^{(k+1,1)}$ and that $L_{-d} \widetilde{V}^{(k+1,1)} \subset \widetilde{V}^{(k,1)}$. Suppose $\widetilde{V}^{(k+i,1)}$ has r_i composition factors ($i = 1, 2$), then by Lemma 3.1, we must have $r_1 = r_2 = r$. Now choose a suitable basis $\{x_\nu^{(i)} \mid \nu \in M_1, i = 1, ..., r\}$ of $\widetilde{V}^{(k,1)}$ such that $x_0^{(1)}$ is an eigenvector of $L_{-d} L_d$, and such that

$$L_\mu X_\nu = (\overline{\nu} + \mu b) X_{\mu+\nu}, \mu, \nu \in M_1, \tag{3.38}$$

where, $X_\nu = (x_\nu^{(1)}, ..., x_\nu^{(r)})^t$ for $\nu \in M_1$. Lemma 3.2 allows us to choose a basis $\{y_\nu^{(i)} \mid \nu \in M_1, i = 1, ..., r\}$ of $\widetilde{V}^{(k+1,1)}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} L_\mu Y_\nu &= (\overline{\nu} + d + \mu b) Y_{\mu+\nu}, \mu, \nu \in M_1, \\ L_d X_\nu &= (\overline{\nu} + bd) Y_\nu, \nu \in M_1, \end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

where, $Y_\nu = (y_\nu^{(1)}, ..., y_\nu^{(r)})^t$ for $\nu \in M_1$. Now suppose $L_{-d} Y_\nu = A_\nu X_\nu$ for some $r \times r$ complex matrix A_ν, $\nu \in M_1$. Then as in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we can solve that $A_\nu = (\overline{\nu} + d - bd)A$, where A is a constant (matrix). Therefore we have

$$L_{-d}L_dX_\nu = (\overline{\nu} + bd)(\overline{\nu} + d - bd)AX_\nu. \tag{3.40}$$

Since $x_0^{(1)}$ is an eigenvector of $L_{-d}L_d$, the first row of A can only have a non-zero element in the first position. Thus if we now choose $V^{(k,1)} = Span_{\mathbb{C}}\langle x_\nu^{(1)} \mid \nu \in M_1\rangle$, then (3.40) tells us that $L_{-d}L_dV^{(k,1)} \subset V^{(k,1)}$. Now let's start from $k = k_0$ and choose $V^{(k_0,1)}$ as mentioned. By induction on $k > k_0$, we can choose $V^{(k,1)}$ to be the simple $Vir[M_1]$-submodule generated by $L_dV^{(k-1,1)}$. Using $L_{-d}L_dV^{(k_0,1)} \subset V^{(k_0,1)}$, by induction on m, we have $L_{md}V^{(k,1)} \subset V^{(k+m,1)}$ for $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k \geq k_0, k+m \geq k_0$. Since V is a simple module, it must be generated by $V^{(k_0,1)}$. However, we have, by the arguments similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1, $dim\, V_{a+\nu+kd} = 1$ for $\nu \in M_1, k \geq k_0$. Thus by Lemma 2.4, V must be a module of the intermediate series. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Reference

[1] V. Chari and A. Pressley, "Unitary representations of the Virasoro algebra and a conjecture of Kac," *Compositio Math.*, **67**(1988), 315-342.

[2] B. L. Feigin and D. B. Fuchs, "Verma modules over the Virasoro algebra," in *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **1060**, pp.230-245, Springer, New York-Berlin, 1984.

[3] D. Friedan, Z. Qiu, and S. Shenker, "Conformal invariance, unitarity and two-dimensional critical exponents," in *Vertex Operators in Mathematics and Physics* (J. Lepowsky, S. Mandelstam, and I. M. Singer, Eds.), Springer, New York-Berlin, 1985.

[4] —, "Details of the non-unitarity proof for highest weight representations of the Virasoro algebra," *Comm. Math. Phys.* **107**(1986), 535-542.

[5] P. Goddard and D. Olive, "Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras in relation to Quantum physics," *Internat. J. Mod. Phys.*, **A1**(1986), 303-414.

[6] V.G. Kac, "Some problems on infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and their representations," in *Lie algebras and related topics, Lecture Notes in Math.* **933**, 117-126, Springer, New York-Berlin,

1982.

[7] I. Kaplansky and L. J. Santharoubane, "Harish-Chandra modules over the Virasoro algebra," in *Infinite-Dimensional Groups with Application, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.* **4**(1985), 217-231.

[8] R. Langlands, "On unitary representations of the Virasoro algebra," in *Infinite-Dimensional Lie algebras and Their Applications* (S. N. Kass, Ed.), World Scientific, Singapore, 1986, 141-159.

[9] C. Martin and A. Piard, "Indecomposable modules over the Virasoro Lie algebra and a conjecture of V. Kac," *Comm. Math. Phys.* **137**(1991), 109-132.

[10] O. Mathieu, "Classification of Harish-Chandra modules over the Virasoro Lie algebra," *Invent. Math.* **107**(1992), 225-234.

[11] J. Patera & H. Zassenhaus, "The high rank Virasoro algebras," *Comm. Math. Phys.* **136**(1991), 1-14.

[12] C. Rebbi, "Dual models and relativistic quantum strings," *Phys. Rep.* **12**(1974), 1-73.

[13] Y. -C. Su, "A classification of indecomposable $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$-modules and a conjecture of Kac on Irreducible modules over the Virasoro algebra," *J. Alg.*, **161**(1993), 33-46.

[14] Y. -C. Su, "Classification of Harish-Chandra modules over the super-Virasoro algebras," *Comm. Alg.* **23**(1995), 3653-3675.

[15] Y. -C. Su, "Harish-Chandra modules of the intermediate series over the high rank Virasoro algebras and high rank super-Virasoro algebras," *J. Math. Phys.* **35**(1994), 2013-2023.

[16] Y. -C. Su, "Classification of indecomposable Harish-Chandra modules over the Virasoro algebra and high rank Virasoro algebras," (to be published)